

Streamlined Local Plan Survey

May 2021

1951-2021



**PEAK
DISTRICT
NATIONAL
PARK**








1. Our heritage has shaped the landscape and settlements; it connects us to the past. We think it is important that new developments fit in with the existing character of settlements. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		54.15%	235
2	Agree		31.80%	138
3	Neither agree nor disagree		4.84%	21
4	Disagree		6.45%	28
5	Strongly disagree		1.84%	8
6	I don't know		0.69%	3
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	434
			skipped	8








2. If new developments fit in with the existing character, we are happy if they have innovative, sustainable designs that reflect modern living. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		48.97%	213
2	Agree		39.77%	173
3	Neither agree nor disagree		5.29%	23
4	Disagree		2.53%	11
5	Strongly disagree		2.07%	9
6	I don't know		1.15%	5
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	435
			skipped	7







3. If a housing need is identified for local people we will permit small housing developments on greenfield sites that are within or on the edge of settlements. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		14.48%	63
2	Agree		30.80%	134
3	Neither agree nor disagree		12.18%	53
4	Disagree		19.08%	83
5	Strongly disagree		20.23%	88
6	I don't know		2.53%	11
7	Not applicable to me		0.69%	3
			answered	435
			skipped	7







4. We will only permit housing that is available for anyone to buy, on previously developed (brownfield) sites. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		29.89%	130
2	Agree		45.75%	199
3	Neither agree nor disagree		12.64%	55
4	Disagree		5.98%	26
5	Strongly disagree		3.91%	17
6	I don't know		1.15%	5
7	Not applicable to me		0.69%	3
			answered	435
			skipped	7








5. We believe that the new housing should be lived in as a home and not be used for the purpose of holiday or second homes. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		62.30%	271
2	Agree		23.68%	103
3	Neither agree nor disagree		6.90%	30
4	Disagree		4.14%	18
5	Strongly disagree		2.53%	11
6	I don't know		0.46%	2
7	Not applicable to me		0.00%	0
			answered	435
			skipped	7








6. Communities should lead on any development plans in their area, rather than us identifying sites for development. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		31.49%	137
2	Agree		37.70%	164
3	Neither agree nor disagree		17.24%	75
4	Disagree		8.74%	38
5	Strongly disagree		1.38%	6
6	I don't know		3.45%	15
7	Not applicable to me		0.00%	0
			answered	435
			skipped	7








7. Our current approach identifies 63 settlements as the most sustainable location for new housing (listed below). We should continue to allow development in these 63 areas. Do you agree? Alstonefield Ashford Bamford Baslow and Bubnell Beeley Biggin Birchover Bradwell Butterton Calton Calver Castleton Chelmorton Curbar Earl Sterndale Edale (Grindsbrook) Edensor Elton Eyam Fenny Bentley Flagg Flash Foolow Froggatt Great Hucklow Great Longstone Grindleford and Nether Padley Grindon Hartington Hathersage with Outseats Hayfield High Bradfield Low Bradfield Holme Hope Kettlethulme Little Hayfield Litton Longnor Middleton by Youlgrave Monyash Over Haddon Parwich Peak Forest Pilsley Rainow Rowsley Sheen Stanton in Peak Stoney Middleton Taddington Thorpe Tideswell Tintwistle Tissington Wardlow Warslow Waterhouses Wensley Wetton Winster Youlgrave

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		9.66%	42
2	Agree		28.97%	126
3	Neither agree nor disagree		23.91%	104
4	Disagree		12.18%	53
5	Strongly disagree		14.02%	61
6	I don't know		9.43%	41
7	Not applicable to me		1.84%	8
			answered	435
			skipped	7







8. We believe shops and community facilities should be located within existing settlements and their loss resisted. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		55.76%	242
2	Agree		36.41%	158
3	Neither agree nor disagree		4.15%	18
4	Disagree		1.38%	6
5	Strongly disagree		0.23%	1
6	I don't know		1.84%	8
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	434
			skipped	8

9. The design of new residential developments should provide an attractive setting for residents that has a positive impact on their health and wellbeing, for example, with well-lit, open, walkable links to services and facilities. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		47.22%	204
2	Agree		38.43%	166
3	Neither agree nor disagree		11.11%	48
4	Disagree		1.85%	8
5	Strongly disagree		0.69%	3
6	I don't know		0.46%	2
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	432
			skipped	10

10. In order to sustain the future of community facilities, we should use venues such as village halls and churches for a mix of uses such as social events, workspaces, clinics, out of school clubs etc. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		53.58%	232
2	Agree		42.96%	186
3	Neither agree nor disagree		2.08%	9
4	Disagree		0.92%	4
5	Strongly disagree		0.23%	1
6	I don't know		0.00%	0
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	433
			skipped	9







11. Which do you think are most important for communities to have access to? Please tick:

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Allotments		39.86%	173
2	Renewable source of energy		54.15%	235
3	Good internet access		73.50%	319
4	Playgrounds / play-areas		58.29%	253
5	Green spaces for biodiversity		64.52%	280
6	Open spaces		76.73%	333
7	Benches		30.88%	134
8	Community hall/church		46.08%	200
9	Shop		66.13%	287
10	Trees		69.35%	301
			answered	434
			skipped	8







12. We should do our best to help combat climate change. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		74.48%	324
2	Agree		19.31%	84
3	Neither agree nor disagree		3.68%	16
4	Disagree		0.69%	3
5	Strongly disagree		1.38%	6
6	I don't know		0.46%	2
7	Not applicable to me		0.00%	0
			answered	435
			skipped	7

13. Carbon is stored in the materials of our buildings and used operationally in the day-to-day running of them. To help combat climate change, we should change and adapt buildings, to improve their energy efficiency, rather than knocking old buildings down and replacing them with new ones. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		52.76%	229
2	Agree		32.03%	139
3	Neither agree nor disagree		9.91%	43
4	Disagree		3.46%	15
5	Strongly disagree		1.15%	5
6	I don't know		0.69%	3
7	Not applicable to me		0.00%	0
			answered	434
			skipped	8

14. We believe smaller scale renewable technologies fit best with the national park's special character (e.g. roof based solar, hydro, smaller wind turbines). Larger scale wind turbines and large solar farms are less likely to be acceptable. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		38.85%	169
2	Agree		39.31%	171
3	Neither agree nor disagree		9.66%	42
4	Disagree		7.59%	33
5	Strongly disagree		3.68%	16
6	I don't know		0.92%	4
7	Not applicable to me		0.00%	0
			answered	435
			skipped	7








15. When determining planning applications new businesses should (tick all that you agree with):

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Reduce the need to travel		67.97%	295
2	Be accessible by sustainable modes of travel		65.90%	286
3	Help to combat climate change		68.66%	298
4	Conserve traditional character		64.06%	278
5	Improve biodiversity		54.61%	237
6	Create jobs		73.50%	319
7	Improve internet access		37.10%	161
			answered	434
			skipped	8







16. Where do you think it is appropriate for new businesses to be located, tick all that you agree with:

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	On existing business parks		95.16%	413
2	On new business parks		15.44%	67
3	In converted traditional farm buildings		67.74%	294
4	Above existing commercial buildings		70.05%	304
5	Based at home, if appropriate		72.12%	313
			answered	434
			skipped	8








17. We believe the Peak District's landscapes should be allowed to change only in a way that enables and promotes the recovery of nature and increases its biodiversity. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		61.61%	268
2	Agree		25.98%	113
3	Neither agree nor disagree		7.82%	34
4	Disagree		2.30%	10
5	Strongly disagree		0.92%	4
6	I don't know		0.92%	4
7	Not applicable to me		0.46%	2
			answered	435
			skipped	7







18. We should identify, map and safeguard wildlife-rich habitats, the 'stepping-stones' that connect them and 'areas for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation'. We must consider these areas when determining planning applications. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		64.75%	281
2	Agree		27.42%	119
3	Neither agree nor disagree		5.30%	23
4	Disagree		1.15%	5
5	Strongly disagree		0.46%	2
6	I don't know		0.92%	4
7	Not applicable to me		0.00%	0
			answered	434
			skipped	8



19. In the remote uplands and other wilder areas of the national park, exceptional development should only be permitted if it does not harm special qualities and also contributes to biodiversity. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		61.06%	265
2	Agree		24.65%	107
3	Neither agree nor disagree		7.37%	32
4	Disagree		4.15%	18
5	Strongly disagree		1.84%	8
6	I don't know		0.69%	3
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	434
			skipped	8








20. Road building in the national park should only be allowed if it is essential and results in an enhancement to the environment (essential is where it is in relation to access to new housing or business; replacing existing structures e.g. bridges/embankments/retaining walls; or where it is in the national interest). Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		48.74%	212
2	Agree		39.31%	171
3	Neither agree nor disagree		6.67%	29
4	Disagree		3.45%	15
5	Strongly disagree		1.38%	6
6	I don't know		0.00%	0
7	Not applicable to me		0.46%	2
			answered	435
			skipped	7








21. Currently the former Matlock to Buxton (Monsal Trail) and Woodhead (Trans Pennine Trail) railway routes are safeguarded for future rail use. Should we change this to safeguard them as multi-user recreational routes e.g. walkers, runners, cyclists, horse-riders etc.

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes		69.63%	298
2	No		30.37%	130
			answered	428
			skipped	14








22. We usually only permit new or enlarged car parks to be created as part of new businesses, or to remove dangerous or obstructive roadside parking. Do you agree that we should stick with this approach?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		32.41%	141
2	Agree		41.15%	179
3	Neither agree nor disagree		11.03%	48
4	Disagree		8.74%	38
5	Strongly disagree		4.37%	19
6	I don't know		2.07%	9
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	435
			skipped	7

23. Larger recreation and tourism developments should be restricted to sites that are either: a) not reliant on being accessed by car; or b) can provide access by sustainable means of transport (e.g. public transport, bike, walking) as a condition of the development. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		40.28%	174
2	Agree		34.49%	149
3	Neither agree nor disagree		11.57%	50
4	Disagree		8.80%	38
5	Strongly disagree		2.55%	11
6	I don't know		2.08%	9
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	432
			skipped	10

24. It has been identified that there is a requirement for a new build hotel in Bakewell. Outside of Bakewell, new build hotel accommodation should be allowed only on brownfield (previously developed) sites that also enhance the local environment. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		45.06%	196
2	Agree		35.86%	156
3	Neither agree nor disagree		10.11%	44
4	Disagree		4.37%	19
5	Strongly disagree		2.07%	9
6	I don't know		2.30%	10
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	435
			skipped	7

25. Which of the following do you think are appropriate developments in the open countryside:

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Static caravans		13.40%	54
2	Chalets / Lodges		41.69%	168
3	Camping pods		64.52%	260
4	Yurts		59.06%	238
5	Shepherds huts		67.25%	271
6	Caravan site for touring caravans		32.01%	129
7	Basic campsite for tents		89.83%	362
			answered	403
			skipped	39








26. Given the increased demand for water from new developments in areas surrounding the national park, we believe we should continue to resist new reservoirs being built, rather than allowing new ones to be built. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		23.06%	98
2	Agree		27.06%	115
3	Neither agree nor disagree		19.53%	83
4	Disagree		8.24%	35
5	Strongly disagree		6.12%	26
6	I don't know		15.29%	65
7	Not applicable to me		0.71%	3
			answered	425
			skipped	17








27. Planning controls over telecommunications infrastructure are to be relaxed. We believe, where possible, the national park should (tick those you agree with):

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	control the location of new masts		80.37%	344
2	limit the height of new masts		63.08%	270
3	influence their design		75.00%	321
4	encourage mast sharing		76.40%	327
			answered	428
			skipped	14







28. Strategic policies seek to gradually reduce the impacts and extent of quarries in order to reach a sustainable level that is compatible with the special qualities of the national park. We believe this approach should continue and that policies should only support small minerals sites for building and roofing stone for use primarily in the national park. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		37.56%	163
2	Agree		36.18%	157
3	Neither agree nor disagree		13.36%	58
4	Disagree		5.53%	24
5	Strongly disagree		3.46%	15
6	I don't know		3.69%	16
7	Not applicable to me		0.23%	1
			answered	434
			skipped	8

29. We believe that the national park is not an appropriate place for larger scale tips or recycling sites. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		63.13%	274
2	Agree		23.73%	103
3	Neither agree nor disagree		6.22%	27
4	Disagree		4.15%	18
5	Strongly disagree		1.15%	5
6	I don't know		1.15%	5
7	Not applicable to me		0.46%	2
			answered	434
			skipped	8

30. We believe it is appropriate to allow waste to be managed at a small scale in the community it arises. Do you agree?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree		28.57%	124
2	Agree		54.61%	237
3	Neither agree nor disagree		8.29%	36
4	Disagree		3.23%	14
5	Strongly disagree		2.30%	10
6	I don't know		2.76%	12

30. We believe it is appropriate to allow waste to be managed at a small scale in the community it arises. Do you agree?

		Response Percent	Response Total
7	Not applicable to me	0.23%	1
		answered	434
		skipped	8

31. The Environment Act says existing mineral operations should end by 2042. In some cases the permitted reserves of mineral will not be extracted by that date. Should there be further discussions on whether permissions are extended within the national park to allow all the reserves to be extracted?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly agree	14.48%	63
2	Agree	31.26%	136
3	Neither agree nor disagree	16.78%	73
4	Disagree	14.94%	65
5	Strongly disagree	11.95%	52
6	I don't know	9.89%	43
7	Not applicable to me	0.69%	3
		answered	435
		skipped	7

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	176
1	11/02/2021 10:36 AM ID: 159118616	Biodiversity should be at the very top of the agenda for the local plan. We are in a biodiversity crisis and National Parks should be leading the way in ensuring dynamic habitat mosaics are available for a whole range of flora and fauna. Please prioritise biodiversity.	
2	17/02/2021 17:25 PM ID: 159803610	No mention is made in the survey of new business enterprises which take over existing premises (eg food outlets, fish and chip shops, restaurants or retail outlets) which negatively alter the life of their surroundings and are designed for the use of urban consumers (rather than locals or visitors doing activities appropriate to a national park) with the knock-on effect of calls for more services such as parking spaces, improved roads etc.	
3	18/02/2021 17:57 PM ID: 159957699	There is a need for car parks in tourist areas but there is too much resistance. Residents in the Hope Valley are completely fed up	
4	18/02/2021 18:06 PM ID: 159958286	New housing developments should be affordable for the younger generation to be able to stop in the valley and not be able to sell it to just anybody Eg Flats ,appartments	
5	18/02/2021 18:55 PM ID: 159961833	Small peak district villages should not be forced to allow even more houses to be built when so many are used as second homes/holiday lets. Less effort to attract	

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		tourists and more effort to protect what we have without constant building of houses and car parks.		
6	18/02/2021 18:57 PM ID: 159962210	Please review question 26 - it cannot be answered properly.		
7	18/02/2021 20:26 PM ID: 159967926	Please allow this area to be lived in by people who have always lived here and not pimped out to any one with money including local industries that are not always idyllic to tourists		
8	18/02/2021 21:18 PM ID: 159969771	I think the planning authority should encourage the use of renewable energy in all buildings. Whilst the heritage of our buildings connects us to our past, there is a desperate need to de-carbonise our future. My future grandchildren will not be able to learn about our past if there is no effort made today to safeguard our future. Also, I felt some of the questions were leading and Q26 asked me a) or b) and the only options in the answers were Agree/Disagree - this does not make sense. Please consider any bias in your questions when analysing the data from this study.		
9	19/02/2021 07:50 AM ID: 159984897	The park should encourage quality architect designed housing. We shouldn't encourage things to look the same as they have always been. With so much of Derbyshire Dales being in the park pressure to build housing is being put on places like Matlock to accept large numbers of new houses. Your policies affect the rest of the Derbyshire Dales, meaning Matlock now has hundreds of awful looking red brick executive style houses. You must accept larger numbers of houses and not seek to preserve the peak park.		
10	19/02/2021 07:55 AM ID: 159983849	Please continue to protect the park as a resource for future generations. Helicopter operations, for example, have a relatively high carbon footprint but contribute so much in the preservation of the wilder areas, so carbon is not the be-all and end all. Car parking facilities are so much more important than your question phrasing suggests. The need to drive into the park to use park facilities and spaces is not going to go away. Pop up grass parking areas like in Castleton seem to be an excellent solution. Tasteful car parks like at Froggat Edge are ideal.		
11	19/02/2021 07:57 AM ID: 159983949	Disappointed there was not more focus on improving biodiversity, rewilding, stopping heather burning, ending driven grouse Moors, reintroduction of wildlife, reduction of sheep and cattle. The Peak District is still shamefully lacking in wildlife but very little focus on this.		
12	19/02/2021 07:58 AM ID: 159984439	I think planning committees need to be more willing to stand up to aggressive local opposition when it comes to visitor developments. The national park is there for everybody, and does not belong to the privileged group who live there. Whether politically motivated or just afraid of the virulent opposition from local parish councils to many things that would be good for visitors, the PDNPA and its members should not be afraid to uphold the ethos of a national park, and should be much more open to visitor developments. The visitors are not going away, and everybody else in the UK has to accept continuous change in their lives. Residents of the national park are not exempt from this based on their geography, and the national park is not a museum. It is a living landscape like the rest of the UK, and while being a national park means it must be protected for its character and biodiversity, it must also adapt to the modern world and be much more forward thinking with its visitor offer. The visitors are not going anywhere, and by not allowing new car parks and visitor experience attractions, the overcrowding is simply being concentrated into a few problem areas.		
13	19/02/2021 08:08 AM ID: 159989633	This survey is very one way with leading questions. I don't feel I was able to get my view across		
14	19/02/2021 08:09 AM ID: 159987645	The survey has too many questions leading you to give an answer or not tick anything at all. Near the start it talks about local housing being built available for "everyone". But makes no mention of cost. Housing available for everyone is £200k max, there is no way way developments will fall into that price category.		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		Question 26 doesn't even make any sense, should we allow new reservoirs to be built or should we continue to resist them? agree/disagree. Well which one are we agreeing with... I like living in the Peak and these matters need discussing but a lot of this just feels like your shoe horning people into the decisions you've already made.		
15	19/02/2021 08:17 AM ID: 159986866	As a young farmer with young family in the area I feel it is very important that productive, sustainable agriculture is encouraged. Some of the schemes which encourage biodiversity do so at the expense of the productivity of the land. Through better management such as planned rotational grazing, use of modern grass and legume varieties (not just ryegrass) better use of nutrients, we have reduced artificial fertiliser use by 10 tonnes per year whilst increasing livestock performance and stocking rates. We grow the vast majority of our animals protein and energy requirements on farm and no longer feed soya or palm kernel in our purchased compound. My fear with the environmental schemes is that they are underpinned by government subsidy and encourage fireside farmers who take the subsidy money to invest in property off farm rather than investing in farm technology and infrastructure to improve efficiencies of their business. We have actually found on some land we took control of 10 years ago there has actually been a visible increase in wildlife despite what the National Park would view as modern farming practices. It has a footpath regularly used by villagers who often comment on the increase on wildlife they see whilst walking on our land. We have planted over 4000 trees on this site not with any government support but for the benefit of the wildlife on our land.		
16	19/02/2021 08:18 AM ID: 159988101	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Enforce a policy which does not allow residential houses to be used as holiday accommodation/second homes 2. Limit the use of ugly render on buildings, such as the new houses which are being built in Bakewell 3. Encourage the local use of sustainable technologies such as small turbines 4. Encourage small local businesses, especially when they want to grow and develop 5. We need more younger people/families within the local area 		
17	19/02/2021 08:20 AM ID: 159987547	<p>Some of these questions are too complex to give an informed answer in the options offered .</p> <p>Please don't destroy the Peak District we live here and enjoy . Don't change it out of recognition and alter its character . People that have moved here come because they liked what what it is . Even the thousand of dreaded tourist come because the peak park is amazing don't turn our market towns and villages into mini modern cities where it's a full of little boxes crammed together for homes with no space to call their own .our villages have communities that engage and interact and are fiercely proud of where we are if you keep building new housing estates oddly community life will disappear . . and wee do not want our market towns to be identical to shopping areas across the country we live our quickly little places , that's us</p>		
18	19/02/2021 08:30 AM ID: 159992482	<p>Broadly, I'm in favour of new developments of existing sites where they are sympathetic and allow for longer term sustained usage. I think it's important that we balance history and historic with sustainable and Sustained.</p> <p>Is los think significant thought should be given to how to mange and support tourism. Many areas in the peaks are overwhelmed with tourism (cars parking on roadside and blocking onward travel and emergency access) and more sustainable plans for managing increased tourism need to be thought through for key areas.</p>		
19	19/02/2021 08:35 AM ID: 159992813	<p>A lot of the questions are very leading and imply agreement with development in general with no option against. (Ie. I disagree with all such development proposals). Some of the questions are poorly worded in a way which is unclear as to which response is for or against ie.</p> <p>""Given the increased demand for water from new development in areas surrounding the national park should we allow new reservoirs to be built or should we continue to resist them?""</p>		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
20	19/02/2021 08:38 AM ID: 159992399	Inappropriate use of footpaths, bridal paths and green lanes should be discouraged. That is action should be taken to stop mountain bikes on footpaths, motorbikes on bridal paths and 4x4's on green lanes.		
21	19/02/2021 08:39 AM ID: 159993785	I love the Peak District, beautiful place. Son lives in Wetton so regular visitors. Difficult balance between too much traffic and allowing people to see the beauty of the place.		
22	19/02/2021 09:04 AM ID: 159994569	Growing up on a farm in the Peak District, I would love the opportunity to live back in the local rural community with my family, but house prices mean that's not possible currently, so if there's a way of offering more affordable housing and people can prove their connections to that area, this would give a lot of people chance to live back near to or where they grew up. It's been really sad over lockdown to see many houses in Alstonefield, Milldale for example sitting empty as they're holiday lets, when ex locals would give anything to live in an area that means something to them!		
23	19/02/2021 09:11 AM ID: 159997208	I would not support the conversion of the Monday Trail into a freight/passenger train. It would result in the loss of easily accessible exercise space and would damage the nature reserves that have emerged around it.		
24	19/02/2021 09:12 AM ID: 159998283	question i think 27 was a one of other question but the answers were agree or disagree , but the question gave 2 options. I can't go back but think it was Q 27		
25	19/02/2021 09:25 AM ID: 159999581	Get a Sheffield to Manchester dual carriageway built soonest		
26	19/02/2021 09:35 AM ID: 160001371	Question 26 asks the reader to agree or disagree with two opposing statements and can't therefore be answered in its current form.		
27	19/02/2021 09:56 AM ID: 160003856	#26 is worded confusingly		
28	19/02/2021 10:04 AM ID: 160004054	More footpaths need to have the access rights changed to bridleway.		
29	19/02/2021 10:04 AM ID: 160004462	Question 26 does not make sense Light pollution needs to be part of the balance with "safe well-lit"		
30	19/02/2021 10:11 AM ID: 160004970	National parks were set up to preserve cultural heritage, but times are changing and they need to change to instead champion and focus on improving wildlife and biodiversity. They should be examples of how the rest of the country should be and not nature depleted areas. Tenants and land owners should have more pressure on them to improve to help stop the biodiversity downfall we're currently seeing.		
31	19/02/2021 10:21 AM ID: 159995427	The peak district is a beautiful landscape and natural haven for much wildlife. To bring in too many new developments may jeopardise this if done thoughtfully and structurally.		
32	19/02/2021 10:21 AM ID: 160006688	As a motorhome user I should like to see the creation of 'aires' in the park to alleviate the parking issues. Most motorhomes do not require campsites. These should link with sustainable transport.		
33	19/02/2021 10:44 AM ID: 160009126	I think you should reduce the amount of traffic going into the national park. With park and ride perhaps.		
34	19/02/2021 10:46 AM ID: 160010079	I wasn't aware of the original survey. I thought I was quite aware of National Park questions but hadn't seen the survey. Quation 3 on housing is difficult. I would prefer new housing on brownfield sites. Greenfield sites only where absolutely necessary on the edge of villages but this is a very tricky issue. It would be easy to overstep the mark & allow too much. Housing if possible needs to be for local people & not as second or holiday homes which have forced prices up out priced local buying. I don't know if this is possible		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		as a policy. Reservoirs question 26 is ambiguous. Should not be new reservoirs in the sensitive uplands which is where they may be proposed.		
35	19/02/2021 10:58 AM ID: 160010472	I am a regular visitor that lives literally only just outside the PDNP and I have answered all questions with reason.		
36	19/02/2021 11:23 AM ID: 160014640	Question 21 is poorly worded such that it's difficult to have clarity. Monsal Trail should NOT have a rail line put back in place. It should remain as a multi use trail. Question 26 does not make sense. It's not an agree/disagree question. It's an either this or that question. No static caravans. It's not the East Yorkshire coast! If reservoirs are to be built, they need to include multi use planning - running / cycling / swimming etc.		
37	19/02/2021 11:25 AM ID: 160015208	The majority of these questions are leading and will provide false results and a lack of qualitative data. Without quarries a lot of families will lose jobs so you have to think of the long term consequences. We could do well in introducing "green" jobs or apprenticeships which replace jobs that aren't environmentally friendly. Other than that, I hope your questionnaire design improves to become an impartial one.		
38	19/02/2021 11:56 AM ID: 160019385	There needs to be more affordable housing for those who live within or grew up in the national park area. Many become out priced of their local area or stuck in high priced private rentals.		
39	19/02/2021 11:59 AM ID: 160019754	Don't currently live in national park, but looking at a renovation project in the near future to restore abandoned listed buildings		
40	19/02/2021 12:09 PM ID: 160020647	We have to move forward in line with modern day living, but keep some of the old values. We cannot stop the world moving forward, and should find ways to embrace it. Roads are needed to cope with traffic; we will always have visitors so let's reduce congestion; have fairly priced parking to reduce bad parking, use turbines to support our ethos, celebrate new communities etc		
41	19/02/2021 12:13 PM ID: 160020317	Measures to combat the climate crisis and biodiversity loss are essential, please prioritise these in every decision you make.		
42	19/02/2021 12:46 PM ID: 160025465	The location of the park is such that it attracts, and commercial needs to attract, people from the towns and cities that surround it. There should be a concerted effort to provide suitable and excessive parking facilities for future growth: the use of a car will not wane but only gain in number. Additionally the ability to purchase a primary home in the national park should not in any circumstances be limited to 'locals' or those that work in the park; this is simply reducing to housing stock to those who would bring greater wealth and prosperity to the park and could be seen as a way of indirectly influencing the wages of the people who work in the park.		
43	19/02/2021 13:56 PM ID: 160034842	We should allow no building of any kind on green field sites.		
44	19/02/2021 14:33 PM ID: 160038450	how will you manage very remote carparks which may need car charging ??		
45	19/02/2021 14:37 PM ID: 160039869	Some of the questions were very badly designed. One was an either/or and none of the response options was suitable.		
46	19/02/2021 16:41 PM ID: 160054871	Some of these questions are very poorly designed meaning that it isn't possible to give an accurate answer to an ambiguous question . If this level of ineptitude is		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		indicative of the way in which the PDNP conduct their business it does not bode well for the future.		
47	19/02/2021 17:15 PM ID: 160059389	Some of the questions contained TWO questions so it was impossible to respond without stating to which part one was responding. Needs rewriting		
48	19/02/2021 17:20 PM ID: 160059614	Parking and access issues do need to be proactively looked at. Encourage fair use of trails for all users, not just walkers, where appropriate. This will promote positive behaviour because mixed use trails will be the norm and not the exception, which over time will change how other trail user are treated and seen. It will also spread the load of people accessing the outdoors.		
49	19/02/2021 17:24 PM ID: 160060268	Britain's wilderness is shrinking and sacred. protect it as best you can.		
50	19/02/2021 17:28 PM ID: 160059296	Management of existing open spaces should be minimised in order to allow natural grassland to recover. Agricultural activities should be closely monitored so that actions such as inappropriate hedge-trimming and pesticide/fungicide/fertiliser use is reduced. Working with land owners in order to encourage wild 'pockets' of non-managed land on sites that are not used. The development of further reservoirs will only worsen the environmental damage caused to rivers and waterways. Communication around water shortage issues could be improved so that local residents better understand the issues caused by unnecessary and inconsiderate water use.		
51	19/02/2021 17:54 PM ID: 160062355	New housing developments are to be appropriate to local requirements not large builds for city commuters which are not affordable to most. The sanction of these developments should include the requirement to invest in local infrastructure on equivalent terms to the increase in population and traffic in the area (money for schools/roads etc) caused by the development.		
52	19/02/2021 18:08 PM ID: 160063269	Improve outdoor activity facilities! With the growing popularity of english skiing and snowboarding, surely we could designate one area of hillside for a lift and possibly a dry slope surface? Used T-Bar/Poma lifts are not expensive (in the region of 3-10K) and I'm sure we would help to install and operate it once installed. If there was a lift from the Vale of Edale to the top of Rushup Edge for example, this would provide excellent access for skiing in the winter (there seems to be an increasing amount of snowfall) and it could also provide uplift for mountain bikers using a similar system to what was trialled at the ski centre in Edinburgh. Also the removal of some abandoned stone walls on certain hillsides such as on blacka hill would allow easier and safer skiing for those wishing to enjoy this amazing sport. Another possibility would be to work with The Heights Of Abraham in developing their hill into a small ski area with the addition of a dry slope surface. Uplift would also be sorted by using this venue. There is a shortage of scenic dry slopes in this country, wake up and see the potential :)		
53	19/02/2021 18:11 PM ID: 160063645	Yes open back some of the green lanes for 4x4's and you have closed a high proportion of the routes to motorised traffic. Those that we can legally used we have been on occasion been abused by walkers. They are not the only ones who enjoy the Peaks, not everyone is able bodied enough to be able to walk the routes on foot. Engage with local clubs as they used to help with land damage which is caused by irresponsible drivers. The peaks are for all not just trial walkers.		
54	19/02/2021 18:35 PM ID: 160064606	Some of these questions are very poorly worded. Penistone line unlikely to reopen without a new tunnel. I'd like to see the Monsall line shared between trains and people if possible.		
55	19/02/2021 19:48 PM ID: 160071071	Parking on verges should be restricted, especially near car parks that are provide for parking.		
56	19/02/2021 20:12 PM ID: 160072454	Question 26 is poorly phrased or the answer bank is incorrect. In discussing high and remote land and the biodiversity you neglected your obligation to ensure compliance amongst landowners where game bird shoots		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		take place. Moscar lodge gamekeepers are flagrantly ignore legislation by setting and monitoring illegal traps. Please don't ignore this.		
57	19/02/2021 21:55 PM ID: 160077098	<p>If land is deemed bio diverse & off high wildlife value. Land managers should be paid for it.</p> <p>Often biodiverse areas are unproductive land that the farmer loses money on. Pay them to manage it the way you want & MAKE IT WORTHWHILE. Most that is available currently is £95/ha on mid tier for low input nothing compared to payments for pollen & nectar mix, arable reversion etc £500+/ha</p> <p>Payment to encourage it why not a payment to keep it or for what already there. If so important to Peak Park, Peak Park should help make it economically viable</p>		
58	19/02/2021 22:03 PM ID: 160077101	<p>Farming/ farmers are the key to a thriving, beautiful Peak District National Park - not second homes, barn conversions.</p> <p>The only new shops allowed should be farm shops selling own or very local produce.</p>		
59	19/02/2021 22:46 PM ID: 160070185	<p>No more development in the national park.</p> <p>No more housing estates.</p>		
60	19/02/2021 23:42 PM ID: 160080427	<p>Question 26 is ambiguous.</p> <p>High Peak Borough Council has a Local Plan and the council is already not complying with it, destroying trust in them.</p>		
61	20/02/2021 00:35 AM ID: 160081145	<p>Could not answer question 26, it is badly worded - not sure what I would be agreeing or disagreeing with.</p>		
62	20/02/2021 02:58 AM ID: 160082486	<p>Existing derelict barns should be allowed to be developed and renewed back to a beautiful building fit for families. To many times people are having to move out of the Peak District due to extreme house prices and restrictions from the peak park around building and refurbishing. I see to many renovation projects rejected for local families and young couples but then much larger developments approved for private housing companies , which again takes away opportunities for young couples to stay in the area. The planning committee needs to encourage younger workers to encourage fresh and enabling ideas.</p>		
63	20/02/2021 07:48 AM ID: 160103672	<p>Some questions were two in one but not able to answer according or. Q26</p>		
64	20/02/2021 08:50 AM ID: 160114198	<p>The Peak District National Park Authority has failed to safeguard the biodiversity of the park for too long. The dairy industry in the white peak has decimated our wildlife over recent decades and there has been no action to halt the widespread improvement of grasslands through drainage, reseeding and fertiliser applications. There needs to be less of an emphasis on cultural heritage and conserving a 'farmed landscape' and more of a push to bring wildlife back.</p>		
65	20/02/2021 09:48 AM ID: 160120616	<p>Property is ridiculously expensive within some areas of the park, especially rural areas, what policies are there on the redevelopment of agricultural buildings to provide affordable housing?</p>		
66	20/02/2021 09:57 AM ID: 160121119	<p>The Peak District National Park is the home to a select group of people to whom it really matters most that the Park is left in its natural, traditional, state, & managed solely by those whom rely on it to scrape a living. These people being mainly: Adventure Training companies, Gamekeepers, local businesses & (most importantly) farmers.</p> <p>Any & all attempts by big corporations, big charities (Wild Justice, Hunt Sabs Association) & even the National Government to influence changes within the way the Peak District National Park has been traditionally run for millennia should be resisted.</p>		
67	20/02/2021 10:25 AM ID: 160119633	<p>Young people who live in the Nation park greener area's, should have more chance of buying first. Keeping communities alive and families together. Housing should be affordable for first time buyers + small families. Lettings & second</p>		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		homes can kill small communities they should pay more in housing taxes, waste . B & B's are good , pub accommodations and so on. Licensed camping area's in bigger towns because not everyone is aware of the rules of the park, in biodiversity areas still. !		
68	20/02/2021 11:50 AM ID: 160132088	Check on the appalling practices of local farmers and gamekeepers destroying the environment and killing wildlife. Charge for visitors to enter the park to pay for litter picking, better facilities, mountain rescue, maintenance of paths / stiles / roads / toilet etc etc, fire and police for the national park.		
69	20/02/2021 12:37 PM ID: 160134650	The question about reservoirs was worded in such a way it was impossible to answer		
70	20/02/2021 15:39 PM ID: 160149515	Just remember trees don't grow and survive above a certain height above sea level		
71	20/02/2021 16:33 PM ID: 160151191	This questionnaire is flawed. A number of questions are 'this or that' questions and then you're using the Likert scale. It's inconsistent.		
72	20/02/2021 16:43 PM ID: 160154369	More effort needs to be applied to protect the rivers and streams of the PDNP from pollution, abstraction, farmland run off and boating in waters officially classed as non-navigable. Most people never see the flora and fauna that dwell in our watercourses and so they are overlooked and forgotten. The PDNPA should be actively causing weirs and other engineering obstructions to be removed entirely from all our streams and rivers. Put the rivers and their inhabitants first and we all win.		
73	20/02/2021 18:43 PM ID: 160162341	Development within village boundaries AT APPROPRIATE SITES and not cause access and parking problems etc. Quarrying and mining to continue. Real jobs for locals not coffee shops and gift shops a la Castleton. Absolutely ruined. Stop encouraging people with no interest in the Park to visit. It's a non replaceable resource. Do you like seeing litter, walls knocked down and SSSU,s damaged?		
74	20/02/2021 19:33 PM ID: 160164725	Certain areas away from the 'honeypot' sites should be considered for development (i.e sympathetic car parking areas) to allow spreading out the number of visitors more evenly across the park.		
75	20/02/2021 20:19 PM ID: 160166514	Your question about reservoirs asks an 'either this or this' question, but then asks agree/disagree answers. A bit unclear		
76	20/02/2021 20:44 PM ID: 160167675	What makes many peak villages so nice is the variety. While we need to have controls to stop in appropriate development we do need to be careful to not inadvertently destroy the character of the very villages we are trying to protect by forcing all new developments to rigidly stick to a standardised version of the 'local vernacular'.		
77	20/02/2021 20:49 PM ID: 160167884	I hate to see glaring white caravans n static caravans in our lovely country side they clog up roads that are to small for them to get down and are a isaw can they be stopped from going down small country lanes that cannot accommodate them		
78	20/02/2021 21:55 PM ID: 160170122	More accessible bridleways or segregated cycle paths to encourage non-car transport between villages would be great		
79	20/02/2021 22:37 PM ID: 160171029	There should be more encouragement, understanding of regenerative farming practices and rewilding programmes to make farming economies and overall countryside more profitable and resilient		
80	21/02/2021 01:20 AM ID: 160173510	Question 26 is badly worded and does not fit with the agree/disagree answer format. Cement production is a major greenhouse gas producer and should be strongly opposed in the park.		
81	21/02/2021 02:11 AM ID: 160173883	Protect nature and wildlife against all visitors.		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
82	21/02/2021 08:53 AM ID: 160179955	I found it very difficult to respond to this survey. The questions were written in such a way as to influence respondents towards answering in a way that was in agreement with the surveyor. Thus a really poor survey. I believe the park should be a living landscape, with a thriving community that lives and works within or just outside its boundaries. Affordable housing must be just that and not discounts on unaffordable over inflated values.		
83	21/02/2021 09:13 AM ID: 160180294	Question 26 had conflicting statements and then asked whether we agreed with it.		
84	21/02/2021 10:47 AM ID: 160183080	The Peak Park Planners need to be more open about what does/does not need planning permission and to be more transparent with the residents of the Peak Park about what is/isn't permitted development. There needs to be much more transparency in the decision-making, and planners need to be more accessible and willing to have helpful and informal discussions with residents about their ideas and plans. There is often poor relationships between residents and Peak Park planners, we need to turn this into a much more positive relationship with constructive dialogue and helpful suggestions about what would/would not be permitted.		
85	21/02/2021 12:09 PM ID: 160187097	As an active mountain biker, I would like to see more mountain bike specific use trails be developed alongside existing hiking trails as the bike trail network is incomplete in part of the park and it means at times having to ride short distances on footpaths. I'm an active hiker in the past but an ankle injury is preventing me from doing much of this activity now, so I mainly ride everywhere instead of hiking without health issues. Also the opposite, that I've seen that many hikers like walking on bike/horse paths and it creates at times over crowding on trails between bikers and hikers. In general building more trails will allow spacing between different user groups, especially as there are so many people in UK using the outdoor parks it's getting crowded on certain trails and creates potential for injuries between mountain bikers and hikers.		
86	21/02/2021 15:25 PM ID: 160215372	The proposed answers for question 26 don't seem to fit the formulation of the question ("Given the increased demand for water from new development in areas surrounding the national park should we allow new reservoirs to be built or should we continue to resist them?"). To clarify, I strongly agree that you should continue to resist the building of new reservoirs. Thank you.		
87	21/02/2021 18:22 PM ID: 160225308	I love living here. I enjoy mountain biking and wonder if there should be more discussion about developing routes like Lady Cannings and pump tracks for local communities (like Hope)		
88	21/02/2021 22:52 PM ID: 160234956	I think this is a very broad survey and it depends on each village area specifics. Sometimes a broad brush doesn't work.		
89	21/02/2021 22:57 PM ID: 160233959	The National Park is that, a national park, and in some ways that is more important than the people who live and work there. It sustains many more people than actually live in it. However, any activities that are non-sustainable, selfish, or significantly damaging to the N/P should definitely not be allowed. In addition, it is a park, BUT we must pass it on to future generations in better condition that we got it! I would like to see much more use of park and ride buses, and cracking down on illegal parking. The buses can reduce car use, reduce parking issues and conflicts, are much more environmental friendly in so many ways, AND can help open up walking routes. But these buses must be plentiful and frequent enough, and subsidised by parking fees. Bringing your car to the N/P should be seen as unacceptable for most people.		
90	21/02/2021 23:38 PM ID: 160235748	Questions such as the reservoir one aren't written properly and can't be answered in the context of the answers available . Not allowing new reservoirs is stupid and backward and setting your own plans up for failure . No clean water , no Drink , no life ? It's the basics . New res , new water facility , new jobs and		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		sustainable energy all in one . The use of the trails should be restricted , horse make a mess , scare dogs and children , are dangerous should there be any noise . Their use should be out of the general public .		
91	21/02/2021 23:53 PM ID: 160235983	Space issues when tourists come in spring / summer time on paths, trails, parking and roads. Litter/waste disposal is an issue too.		
92	22/02/2021 08:12 AM ID: 160241906	I dont want to see the peaks taken over by large scale developers. But, I do believe affordable, sustainable housing would really benefit some areas and creating more opportunities for sustainable self builders would be great. I feel there should be encouragement for builds that aim to meet passiv-house standards. The area is already very expensive and exclusive to move into, and I worry that retrofitting existing properties will only drive prices up and make it more elitist. Everyone should have the opportunity to live somewhere so beautiful and currently the peaks are typically very white middle class. I personally would love to see developments that give lower income households the chance to be immersed in sustainable living and countryside life.		
93	23/02/2021 03:58 AM ID: 160336481	Mobile phone and internet services are vital communication means in our rural areas. The signal & or connections are extreley poor & have been for years. An improvement in this area is vital		
94	24/02/2021 10:45 AM ID: 160459200	Some questions do not allow for other opinions/views and lead to the answers you want. Innovative development is always opposed by the authority - why?		
95	24/02/2021 10:46 AM ID: 160459082	Yes. Instead of sentencing the rivers and streams in the Peak District to permanent interference by weirs, the authority should ban all in-river hydro electric schemes and instead carry out a pro-active programme of removing all weirs from the rivers and streams. This will have a massively beneficial effect on the inhabitants in, on and around our rivers and streams. It will also reduce flood risk. https://youtu.be/ILofBcLiDts		
96	25/02/2021 13:00 PM ID: 160581061	More access for mountain bikers , more trails, more bike parks like Scotland and Wales have .		
97	27/02/2021 22:28 PM ID: 160763554	The PDNP will be under a great deal of pressure post covid with extra demands for development from many external forces determined to fight their own corners. In my view the PDNP should look to its original mission that it has executed so well since 1952, and resist these pressures so that future generations can look back and say thank you.		
98	28/02/2021 12:16 PM ID: 160792226	Nope		
99	28/02/2021 14:11 PM ID: 160800385	More Tree planting needs to be encouraged in the PDNP. Farm buildings particularly Barns need additional planning regulations to fit within the existing Green landscape, of note is the eyesore (Barn) on the East side of Over Haddon, seen from all around the Lathkill valley and outlying areas as not fitting in, barn far too large and not correct colour to fit in with the green landscape.		
100	28/02/2021 14:51 PM ID: 160803819	Greenfield sites need to be preserved. Once built in they are lost forever. Regarding monsal trail, it is better to keep for railway rather than have a new line built elsewhere but keep for recreational purposes in meantime		
101	28/02/2021 15:33 PM ID: 160806302	new building developments should be reduced to minimum, there is NO need for large scale housing development in the national park. I believe that the development of parking infrastructure would be the most beneficial for the majority of users and visitors.		
102	01/03/2021 17:34 PM ID: 160900242	Peak Park Planning department is failing the National Park, the landscape in the Park is constantly being degraded by weak and inconsistent planning decisions. There should be no more permissions granted for barn conversions or holiday lets.		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		<p>Small traditional farmers should be supported, with support to maintain traditional barn as barns.</p> <p>No new buildings should be built in fields.</p> <p>There should be no new businesses development building.</p> <p>Existing planning conditions are not enforced or maintained (Welcroft Farm, Grindon being one example, where is the effective screening ?)</p>		
103	01/03/2021 20:27 PM ID: 160919111	<p>The National Parks should wild life havens, increasing biodiversity. All National Park land needs to protected to much higher levels, much higher than the current Countryside Stewardship Schemes. Local Nature Recovery and Landscape Recovery schemes need to urgently implemented across the Park, with involvement of the local community. Re wilding of large areas of the Park needs to be conducted including large areas of tree planting and wetland restoration. This should include compulsory purchase of land if necessary.</p> <p>All Park landscapes and wildlife needs to be enhanced and safeguarded, and must be take priority in any planning application.</p> <p>Many areas of the Park should be made traffic free with only limited access for farm vehicles.</p> <p>The Park infrastructure should be environmentally friendly and sustainable, including reducing waste. How much waste in the Park goes to landfill?</p>		
104	02/03/2021 18:32 PM ID: 161025058	The National park is a fantastic place to live, work and visit. But Existing Housing needs to be renovated to latest energy standards		
105	02/03/2021 19:55 PM ID: 161032648	<p>Only development in the Park should take place where there is a gain to the natural environment, wildlife and the landscape.</p> <p>Green spaces need to robustly protected.</p> <p>Car access and use in the Pak should be controlled.</p> <p>4x4 of road recreational vehicles should be banned from the Park.</p> <p>Reversing the effects of climate change should be the highest priority of the National Park Authority, with the authority leading the way by setting the highest example of environmental practices.</p>		
106	02/03/2021 22:21 PM ID: 161041565	I have lived in the Peak District for 16 years. I am aware of the plight of local people wanting to remain but who find property prices impossible to reach. Can I suggest that housing which is ring fenced should be ring fenced for those living or working in the locality for much longer than the current period.		
107	03/03/2021 09:37 AM ID: 161059067	Roads that were originally designed for horse cart are now frequented by large HGVs 2 abreast. This can not be right as it is dangering other road users. The companies operating in that area should be accountable for their transport management plans.		
108	04/03/2021 08:25 AM ID: 161145347	PDNP needs to address the parking crisis. The culture of verge parking and poor parking blocking access etc appears uncontrolled. Inappropriate parking should be strongly and actively discouraged. If ever increasing visitor numbers are accepted then there need to be provisions for them. If not, then the issue needs to be addressed so that turning up and parking badly is not acceptable. Either way strong clear messaging is needed. (Same goes for bbq and fires as weather starts to warm!)		
109	08/03/2021 11:42 AM ID: 161474712	As a mountain biker and Walker I believe that there are no where near as many routes for mountain biking in the Peak District in comparison to footpaths and this needs addressing to reduce confrontations between walkers and mountain bikers, I believe that the wider footpaths should be turned into bridleways so everyone can enjoy these routes, mountain biking is one of the fastest growing sports in the country and the Peak District needs to provide more facilities to encourage more mountain bikers to want to stay in accommodation in the Peak District as this will bring more money and jobs to the Peak District		
110	11/03/2021 19:15 PM ID: 161871617	Develop a green tourism plan.		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
111	12/03/2021 10:53 AM ID: 161908741	Increase the number of bridleways/trails to cope with growth in visitors and related activities		
112	12/03/2021 19:20 PM ID: 161963320	Preserve rights of way for vehicular use as well as footpaths and bridleways		
113	13/03/2021 13:47 PM ID: 161992847	This survey allows no subtility in responses. The questions are often self answering as predetermined by the question setter. To include phrases such as ""where possible...."" it is pointless to agree or disagree if there is no discussion of the determination of what is possible.		
114	16/03/2021 10:05 AM ID: 162154829	Tourism/visits to the Park are no longer operating in a sustainable way. The Park should now DISCOURAGE additional marketing of facilities and access and DISCOURAGE new developments that will attract more people into the Park and instead should concentrate on reducing the problems being caused by the unsustainable levels of visitors ie.car journeys/traffic, littering,damage to countryside and footpaths (gates/stiles etc), anti social mountain bike activity and associated van traffic, anti social activities in villages that impact on residents (e.g. beer festivals etc), illegal camping, disturbance to wildlife and farming activities due to numbers of dogs, disturbance of wildlife due to recreational activities/numbers of people, number of organised events bringing large numbers of people to single events. I strongly believe the Park is burying its head in the sand and ignoring these problems and that it thinks the exponential growth of the PD economy is always a good thing. Given the proximity of the Park to big cities this is a ludicrous position and the Park should consider a) how many jobs created in the Park are occupied by people living in those cities and not by local people b) the job opportunities for those living in the Park in nearby big cities. I also feel strongly that the Park must have a biodiversity strategy that covers the whole of the Park and that it should campaign vigorously to bring existing habitats into good condition e.g hedgerows, and that it should have a clear strategy for tree planting in valleys/along field boundaries to mitigate the loss of ash trees.		
115	18/03/2021 07:57 AM ID: 162337012	Cycling access and extended bridal way networks will assist in sustainable transport in our communities. Development of bridal ways and purpose built bike trails should be encouraged to develop aid the local economy.		
116	19/03/2021 18:03 PM ID: 162506930	The policies suggested appear too rigid. Q3 and Q4 - greenfield v brownfield should depend on circumstances. Don't allow greenfield if there's brownfield available. Q5 - you're asking two different questions - I disagree with second homes that are left empty if unused, I agree with holiday accommodation Q19 - again, combines different questions - disagree with large wind turbines, but a large solar farm IF sited correctly, could be acceptable The question on recreation seems to miss the point, there's nothing about actual recreation. A better network of bridleways will help people access different areas without using cars - in too many places, routes suitable for cycling are only designated as footpaths. More opportunities for cycling will take the load off the existing routes. Many of the rivers in the National Park are interrupted by weirs; except where these are of particular historic interest (partial removal?), they should be removed, to restore the natural river, avoid the need for maintenance, and to remove the significant risk of drowning.		
117	27/03/2021 10:52 AM ID: 163081443	Question 14 is a trick question that forces a positive answer to the action it describes. ""14. We believe smaller scale renewable technologies fit best with the national park's special character (e.g. roof based solar, hydro, smaller wind turbines). Larger scale wind turbines and large solar farms are less likely to be acceptable. Do you agree?"" You don't examine these threats to wildlife in detail. Solar panels should not be allowed near water courses and still waters. They trick ovipositing aquatic flies into wasting their eggs on the panels instead of returning to the natural reflections on water surfaces. All hydro schemes in the rivers should be removed and no others permitted. They kill fish and prevent their natural migration, which in turn reduces fish populations to the harm of mammals		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		and birds that rely on fish for their food. Wind turbines should all be removed from the Park entirely and no more allowed. A walk round the sites of turbines on most early mornings reveals just how many raptors and bats are killed by these windmills, if you get there before anyone has had chance to clear away the corpses. The Carsington Pastures installation is now the top predator in that area! Unforeseen consequences like these are now historical and there is no excuse to carry on with this damage. Green energy is impossible, it kills too much of our wildlife.		
118	27/03/2021 17:48 PM ID: 163104748	Tighter control of where mountain bikers can ride in forests should be made.		
119	29/03/2021 11:35 AM ID: 163181353	There is a need to improve public transport in the Peak district, both increased cycle routes, perhaps including upgrading of footpaths to bridleways. Re opening of closed rail lines should be looked at to remove pressure on the roads and improve both access for tourists and for residents to be able to commute easily to the surrounding towns and cities. If lines such as the Bakewell Buxton link are re-opened then something to replace the monsal trail should be put in place.		
120	29/03/2021 21:54 PM ID: 163238876	More mountain bike trails please		
121	31/03/2021 15:52 PM ID: 163381198	With regards to the waste sites question (29) i think general waste should be avoided however recycling sites should be allowed as long as they fit current development criteria		
122	31/03/2021 21:30 PM ID: 163421760	Great place! Keep up the great work! Excellent national park! Happy 70th Birthday!		
123	01/04/2021 02:40 AM ID: 163429398	Actively promote sustainable transport networks and introduce incentives for their use. The Special Qualities of the National Parks will be quickly dissipated if by default, they are allowed to become uncontrolled car parks. Moreover, provide quality provision of opportunities for appreciation of all aspects of these Special Qualities including easily accessible programmes of public participation - (' complementary' innovative Guided Walks etc) especially incorporating themes of sustainability, responsible countryside involvement and environmental protection.		
124	04/04/2021 19:38 PM ID: 163638743	I think kore support should be given to healthy tourism activities such as mountain biking and walking. The creation of a trail centres with man made trails for mountain biking and walking would protect the local environment and improve activities for tourism and families. For example in Wales, llandegla, coed-y-brenin area an example of a sustainable development, which improves local tourism for families and groups, and protects local environment as it provides designated trails for activities which protects there wider environment of the national park from overuse.		
125	05/04/2021 11:24 AM ID: 163657320	The Peak District national park is a beautiful play . It's meant o be shared by all but the walkers and ramblers seem to get why they want and the likes of Mountain bikers don't . More rights of way need reopening up before they are lost and brushed under the carpet because certain groups don't like it anymore .		
126	10/04/2021 17:00 PM ID: 164039876	I think you ought to do more about litter, bad parking, people hanging dog shit in bags on trees, dogs not under control, the destruction of footpaths and you keep banging on about education what are you doing about that?		
127	10/04/2021 17:28 PM ID: 164039672	I find the survey is slanted to fit with the decisions the Park has already made. The survey does not address key issues raised by the pandemic the impact of which will be with us for some years. I fully understand the Park's position, that issues such as littering, poor parking, footpath misuse and dog control should be managed by educating the public but we are missing the ""target audience."" The government need to fund hard hitting public service broadcasts in key television time slots that will show people the damage caused by litter, dogs not under control, etc. Moreover, we need to start effectively managing visitor numbers to honeypot sites, we can do this and assist the impact of climate change by requesting that the Govt fund free public transport into the peak district on		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		weekends and bank holidays. It will encourage people to go further afield, reducing numbers and traffic issues at the key pinch points and boosting visitor numbers from those who are less able to afford to travel here. The National Parks have helped the country during the pandemic and we need to capitalise on this and insist that the government assists in helping us deal with the issues that are currently overwhelming us.		
128	13/04/2021 17:48 PM ID: 164287132	<p>There urgently needs to be better infrastructure to allow sustainable transport in the area: as one example, people living in Buxton really have to drive to get to local cycling trails as there's no safe routes. And those of us living in villages cannot cycle to Buxton for the same reason (even though the advent of electric bikes should make this more feasible for those who are not super-fit lycra lovers). Planning applications should look at scale and environmental sustainability: there seem to be plenty of new houses which are so large that they really stick out even though they're using traditional materials; not many though which look unusual because they're extremely environmentally friendly. This is the wrong way round: climate change is a far bigger threat to the Peak district than different shaped roofs or windows. Whilst in general it would be better to retrofit buildings with sustainability improvements rather than knock down and start again, it may not be feasible, so by demanding that you will probably end up with really inefficient buildings because nothing was done. When looking at local needs developments, you need to ensure that these will be properly affordable, in sustainable locations (not in flood prone areas), and that they exceed building regulations with regards to sustainability and accessibility. Scale is also important with other developments: you mention campsites in open countryside, but nothing about how big they are, and there are several new campsites which may be 'basic' but are so big they're creating a big impact.</p> <p>There also needs to be more planning of countryside land use: the fields here are almost all growing ryegrass for silage, which is catastrophic for ground-nesting birds and useless for biodiversity. It really struck home in the first lockdown last spring: practically every field which had skylarks singing above it or curlews displaying that I saw during my daily exercise had been cut for silage within a month. The national park needs to plan for support for farmers to enable use of less damaging methods, and to identify areas suitable for re-wilding.</p>		
129	14/04/2021 10:07 AM ID: 164322456	I think that more provision needs to be made for nature/wildlife reserves.		
130	19/04/2021 08:29 AM ID: 164613014	Cycling activities, whether mountain biking, road cycling or family friendly should be actively encouraged and more provision should be made to support them.		
131	19/04/2021 22:21 PM ID: 164691273	<p>More rights of way like footpaths, should be opened up for use for bikers and horse riding, on monitored impact system.</p> <p>This doesn't mean they should be changed to accommodate these activities just be allowed if someone other than a walker wishes too, (eg lift bikes over gates and through walls). Lots of paths would be unsuitable or undesirable for these activities, but allowing them as an option would help to stop confrontation between some people. It would also remove some of the cycle/horse traffic from the roads making them less congested and safer, which would help to cut down on incidents. I also think that this approach would allow for some more sensitive paths to be deemed for walkers only, and not have people ignore such restrictions in the knowledge that they stand to loose many more options.that have been opened up to them.</p>		
132	20/04/2021 19:24 PM ID: 164764086	Try and prevent the filling in of green areas (fields and parks) within parks as traffic is becoming an increasingly large problem around the small towns (congestion and dangerous driving/parking).		
133	21/04/2021 16:17 PM ID: 164845019	Many of the questions seem to be steering us to a particular answer		
134	21/04/2021 16:27 PM ID: 164845193	There needs to be tougher controls on river pollution and more access granted to waterways for recreation. This will help increase biodiversity while simultaneously providing opportunities for sustainable recreation based businesses that will		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		create local jobs. Studies have also shown that swimming and other activities in cold water are extremely beneficial to mental and physical wellbeing.		
135	21/04/2021 16:46 PM ID: 164849934	In the last year there has been a large increase in the number of vans and motor homes parking and sleeping overnight in various areas of the park - not in camp sites - where there are no facilities and overnight camping should not be permitted (ie under Mam Tor). This behaviour should be challenged and rangers be responsible for ensuring these spots do not become known as 'safe' places to overnight for free.		
136	21/04/2021 16:50 PM ID: 164846978	Thank you :)		
137	21/04/2021 17:07 PM ID: 164851110	we need to slow down the speed of climate change asap, but i think we've already covered that in this survey		
138	21/04/2021 17:36 PM ID: 164855622	There should be absolutely no developments in remote moorland .		
139	21/04/2021 18:32 PM ID: 164859968	I think in some very tourist heavy villages like Castleton where parking is very difficult for residents, there should be a 'residents only' parking scheme, or make the car parks cheaper so tourists don't park in the village.		
140	21/04/2021 19:52 PM ID: 164866200	The primary cause of climate change is Sun activity. If there is an influence by man, it is primarily China and India. UK climate change proposals are ludicrous, unsustainable, unaffordable. Electric cars, and charging electric cars are a complete con. The whole climate change scare is the Emperor's New Clothes. Why are so many buying into this madness?		
141	21/04/2021 19:59 PM ID: 164867102	No		
142	21/04/2021 22:04 PM ID: 164874476	Too many tourist cars polluting the air and too many cyclists, sort it out. Please :)		
143	21/04/2021 22:29 PM ID: 164874712	I'm worried that when green belt is built on you never get that land back. I think private selling of land by landowners for building should be stopped. If there are housing issues for local people this is the housing that should be built first, low cost eco homes for our young people living in the park. No new build for outsiders with deep pockets for holiday let's. Old buildings being bought and being repurposed should be for their local community's needs, even housing for local people. I'm not local but fully aware of the situation. It happens all over, its such a beautiful area, houses bought up and prices sky high for folks born or working there.		
144	22/04/2021 00:46 AM ID: 164878443	This survey seems to be very aimed at mitigating inevitable development rather than avoiding it, keeping it closer to the cities and towns instead of expanding existing small communities in the Peak District. Frankly I don't want to see ANY increases in Parking but increased sustainable transport options (public transport) and protected cycleways (not just lines in the road). Grouse moor burning must be stopped if our young people are to be encouraged to stop having BBQs resulting in unplanned burning. Deer populations must be controlled. Houndkirk road should be closed to joyriders through tougher measures to protect biodiversity and trees replanted and protected. New reservoirs! Hydroelectrics! Wind Turbines! Keep them out of the park. Telecoms masts should be torn down to enhance enjoyment by improving disconnection.		
145	22/04/2021 08:26 AM ID: 164886762	Permitted development should be allowed, as the planning rules are outdated and refusals are constantly being overturned on appeal.		
146	22/04/2021 10:12 AM ID: 164897994	It is common for Local Plan policies to be dictated by older members of the community who often already benefit from home ownership and become entrenched in their opinion on development and sustainable communities. This does not allow for sufficient quantum of development to support essential services which required to make the communities which they seek to protect		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		<p>sustainable. It further prevents a healthy societal demographic by pressuring market forces to outprice younger members from either staying in the places they were raised or moving to them.</p> <p>The safeguarding of the rail routes within the national park are important to the long-term sustainable transport potential of the area, and whilst not operational currently they provide the environmental potential to take cars off the road and improve the economic potential of the park for businesses.</p> <p>There are a number of nationally important mineral sites within the park which provide sustainable materials to the nation and prevent the importation of materials from abroad which would have high emission levels. Mineral extraction is also key industry to the area both now and historically that is part of the heritage of the area and its indigenous communities. The economic benefits that they provide to the local area and to the wider supply chain should not be overlooked as they provide the economic potential to support much needed infrastructure and community requirements.</p>		
147	22/04/2021 13:36 PM ID: 164929160	Dont you dare build on greenfield sites. Leave our countryside alone you money riddled destructionists		
148	22/04/2021 16:13 PM ID: 164949275	We need more dog poo bins around and also human rubbish bins around.		
149	22/04/2021 16:39 PM ID: 164952226	Create separate lanes on the monsal trail and Tissington trail etc for walkers as the path is not wide enough for cyclists on both sides as well as walkers and horses.		
150	22/04/2021 17:35 PM ID: 164957353	We should make looking after the environment No1 priority over almost everything		
151	22/04/2021 18:43 PM ID: 164962887	I for one think that if we try and shape the world into what we want it to be is going to be a 50/50 vote. Yes, we would be upgrading how our world is. but at one point, we will have upgraded so much that the world will just be a new world. we only have one world, and we should just keep it the same as much as we can while sanding off any edges that become out of line. it is a fairly interesting subject to talk about and the debate could go on for years, I suppose you are the ones trying and I won't be alive if the world changes too much, but it must remain open for the future generations. if you wish to learn more: check out this link--- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dQw4w9WgXcQ		
152	22/04/2021 20:18 PM ID: 164970058	I would like to see a few more places in the Peak District with wheelchair accessibility a few more paths and places for changing or toilet facilities such as surprise view car park having toilets and maybe a small coffee shop hut type thing I would like to see more thought put into places in the park for disabled people It has been done successfully in other parks why not here?		
153	22/04/2021 20:46 PM ID: 164972212	NOOO		
154	22/04/2021 22:38 PM ID: 164977735	Too many homes being used as second homes or holiday let's making it impossible for young families to buy!! We need a variety of shops instead of just charity shops and outdoor shops. Something for the locals would be much appreciated.		
155	23/04/2021 12:52 PM ID: 165027045	In 1982, it was possible to get your shopping for groceries, fresh vegetables and fruit, also meat, at Flash Bar Stores. One or two customers came on their tractors. I would miss my supermarket trip six miles up the A 53, but would go back to the 'old way' had it not become a cafe that attracts many motor bikers and passers by. Transport has transformed all our lives and I'd miss my car. However, whatever the result of this survey, there is an absolute urgency now in the need to retreat from		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
156	23/04/2021 14:46 PM ID: 165039626	There needs to be more variety in style of new homes. They all look the same. It will end up looking like a large housing estate. Homes have been built over 100s of years and they differ. Variety always looks better		
157	23/04/2021 19:34 PM ID: 165064155	No		
158	24/04/2021 20:05 PM ID: 165115726	The Peak District desperately needs better and more frequent public transport; many services only run every 2 to 3 hours (e.g. Sheffield to Fairholmes) and that's just useless. I hate going by car, but sometimes it's the only viable option. That said, bus fleets should be electric where possible to reduce pollution.		
159	24/04/2021 21:18 PM ID: 165117849	Please keep it natural and sustainable. Preserv the green belt and reduce environmental contamination and disturbance as much as possible		
160	25/04/2021 16:30 PM ID: 165146951	Nope.		
161	26/04/2021 15:17 PM ID: 165215869	thank you!!!!		
162	26/04/2021 15:18 PM ID: 165214909	to make an area where only plants grow andand water flows.		
163	26/04/2021 16:55 PM ID: 165227171	I think a differently worded survey for primary school children would be helpful. Some of the questions are very wordy and not child friendly!		
164	29/04/2021 14:56 PM ID: 165412053	The policy of managed retreat from quarrying in the National Park is not sustainable. Minerals can only be worked where they are located. There are minerals within the Park that are of national importance and should continue to be made available for extraction now and beyond 2042. Besides quarrying in the park area is not only part of the cultural heritage of the Park but has also created areas of cultural heritage and biodiversity.		
165	01/05/2021 12:47 PM ID: 165628271	You guys in the fancy suits better get off your arses and help climate change, increase biodiversity and stop all of the harmful mineral extraction. Don't build a load of the same looking houses. Good archotecture id key to good mental health. SAVE THE TREES, SAVE THE ANIMALS, SAVE OUR PLANET.		
166	01/05/2021 13:27 PM ID: 165627174	<p>The consultation makes reference to public transport but it is important to qualify what is meant by access by public transport. It needs to be high quality, frequent, covering all key areas, linking buses to train services to make it more attractive than as private car use in order to stand any chance to reducing car traffic. The Park should play a leading role in coordinating key bodies and piloting new initiatives such as shared transport and on-demand transport into the Peaks. The Park should set targets to improve management of visitor traffic by encouraging visitors to explore areas away from over-crowded places, and by promoting alternative modes of transport. The Park should be leaders in bold with initiatives to restrict car access tools such as road closures, pre-booking parking spaces, real time parking information, road pricing alongside quality low cost or free public transport options and safe cycling routes. All of these may face resistance but could be extremely popular once implemented as they enhance the experience for all.</p> <p>Regulations that prohibit verge-side parking and stricter enforcement while at the same time encouraging the provision of convenient alternatives. The Park should take a stance on the conversion of farmers' fields into additions car parking stimulating additional traffic and ruining the visual appeal of the landscape. Support may be s needed to help farmers find alternative sources of income through grants and sustainable initiatives.</p> <p>Ensure that permission for new development is conditional on access by quality public transport or active travel with restrictions to car driving.</p> <p>We need to make cycling and walking to shops, schools and local services safer and more attractive. This might mean lower speed limits, especially through villages, by both locals and visitors and creating new cycle ways away from main roads. The PP has a role in encouraging this vision.</p> <p>The park should resist pressure for new major trans-Pennine road links that</p>		








32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		would increase traffic though the park via the Snake Pass and encourage improved train links. Encourage electrification of the Sheffield-Manchester rail line. Encourage switch to electric vehicles through the installation of charging points in all accessible car parks near housing without off-street parking.		
167	04/05/2021 14:38 PM ID: 165755091	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - should be eco-friendly. - should have more trees planted. - no more deforestation. - petrol to be decreased. - not have builders right outside houses. - find a way to make paper a different way. - more bins. - more jobs. 		
168	05/05/2021 14:38 PM ID: 165848336	New buildings in the national park should not be required to use new stone that requires extraction when other more sustainable materials are available. New build housing shouldn't be limited to local needs or 'affordable' housing where a suitable site is available to provide mixed use housing to support a sustainable community.		
169	05/05/2021 14:51 PM ID: 165850208	New developments should not be confined to "low-cost" housing and contemporary design (including longevity of materials) should encouraged. It would be great to leave a positive mark on the communities from this generation and not be restricted by a "vernacular" (whatever that means!).		
170	05/05/2021 17:34 PM ID: 165868266	<p>Lots of communities are being isolated from public transport. DCC budget is resulting in services being cut. PDNPA seem against reinstating the railway through Bakewell. Tourism isn't going away and is important so what are PDNPA doing to promote, encourage and tender sustainable regular public transport? They have wasted 100s of thousands of pounds on traffic regulation orders where DCC are responsible for repair. These routes should not be the business of PDNPA, they are not the Highways authority. PDNPA should be more about local people and the environment, sustainability. The huge sums of money wasted could have been put to far better use.</p> <p>There is not enough being done to prevent the changing demographic of villages. I have been forced out of the village I grew up in from birth, as have most of my childhood friends. We are all now forced to live in areas such as Chesterfield. There is not enough affordable housing to allow local people to remain in their own communities. The existing housing fetches high premiums and therefore become unaffordable. These houses are generally bought by people from the South who also generally do not integrate or contribute to the local communities. You would think an authority like PDNPA would have this as their first priority. Unfortunately our communities are changing, they are losing their local character and sence of community.</p>		
171	05/05/2021 17:45 PM ID: 165870222	Huge concern about Shutts Lane Building and the way the construction company is managing the safety surrounding the site. I would therefore think it's extremely important that further restrictions are put in place for building in the area and the times wagons are able to get to sites when near educations accessibility for children.		
172	05/05/2021 18:49 PM ID: 165875324	Protect the park. Return as much land back to its natural state (pre trees etc being destroyed). Listen to local communities.		
173	05/05/2021 19:07 PM ID: 165876662	Design of new housing needs to reflect the current hood design practices. History is reflected in all eras of the national park. Reducing current deigns to pastiches of other eras is building an unreal character. What do the 2020's leave to the future. Undoubtedly we're learned from mistakes of the 60's and 70's and good, forward looking design is to be embraced to enhance the history of our villages		
174	05/05/2021 21:22 PM ID: 165880144	Having returned back from city living back to the routes of the Peak District, I believe that there should be some flexibility of new builds taking place that are in keeping with modern living. However, this should be sympathetic to the area.		

32. Is there anything else you would like to say?

			Response Percent	Response Total
		New builds should retain The Derbyshire Clause and not be considered for rental or holiday lets. It is impossible for new home owners or those looking to move into a larger home with younger families as the area is full of affluent developers making a gain for business enterprise. Housing is becoming unaffordable, which has a knock on affect to small businesses, who are unable to recruit employees.		
175	05/05/2021 22:07 PM ID: 165889339	Lack of affordable local housing, family members have been unable to purchase within peak park despite family living here for generations. Too many second homes within villages, loss of community. Inadequate provision for parking for tourists make the roads dangerous and impassable - seems to be too much encouragement of tourists without the infrastructure to support them. Also high traffic on roads especially during summer and when events such as at Chatsworth causing delays when attempting to commute to work and high air pollution.		
176	05/05/2021 22:51 PM ID: 165892367	New buildings should not be allowed on farm fields nor where it detracts from current residents views and surroundings. Residents views must be taken into account when deciding where new builds can happen.		
			answered	176
			skipped	266

36. What age are you?

			Response Percent	Response Total
1	17 or younger		14.02%	61
2	18-20		2.07%	9
3	21-29		15.63%	68
4	30-39		18.39%	80
5	40-49		19.08%	83
6	50-59		17.24%	75
7	60 or older		13.56%	59
			answered	435
			skipped	7